UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,853	12/29/2004	Takayuki Miura	SONYJP 3.3-375	2798	
	7590 05/11/200 /ID, LITTENBERG,	9	EXAMINER		
KRUMHOLZ &	& MENTLIK		SU, SARAH		
600 SOUTH A' WESTFIELD, I	= '=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2431		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/11/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/519,853	MIURA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sarah Su	2431		

	Sarah Su	2431				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two months	e of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	•	ducina or simplifyina tl	ne issues for			
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	ducing or simplifying the	16 133063 101			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-10,13-18,20-26,28-34 and 36-38</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		- 41 4 A 1 411 4				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☒ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Ayaz R. Sheikh/	/Sarah Su/					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2431	/Sarah Su/ Examiner, Art Unit 2431					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner has found the applicant's arguments to be non-persuasive and the examiner maintains the grounds of rejection.

As to claims 1, 8-10, 13-15, 22, 23, 30, 31, and 38, it is argued by the applicant that Win does not disclose a network location of the authentication server being received by the display means as information embedded in information for starting a plug-in. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Win discloses that an administrator enters, for each Protected Server, an identifier; a name; a protocol; a port; a description; the location of an authentication server (col. 7, lines 58-60). Win also discloses that a Protected Server preferably is a World Wide Web server that stores one or more resources that are protected by a Runtime Module, which provides one or more services, and that each service is structured as a C/C++ web server plug-in (col. 7, lines 42-50). Therefore, when the Runtime Module is run (col. 7, lines 66-67), a plug-in is started. It is also noted that the phrase "as information embedded in information" is unclear if it is the same information as "electronic information" (claim 1, line 6).

Continuation of 13. Other: With regards to the objection to claims 16 and 22, the applicant has submitted amendments, and the examiner hereby withdraws the objection.